

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicants have amended their rejected claims to each include a display structure or step. These amendments obviate the 35 USC §101 rejection. See *In re Alappat*, 33 F.3d 1526, 1544, 31 USPQ2d 1545, 1557 (Fed. Cir. 1994) ("a rasterizer for converting discrete waveform data samples into anti-aliased pixel illumination intensity data to be displayed on a display means were held to be directed to patentable subject matter since the claims defined "a specific machine to produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result."); MPEP Section 2106 at 2100-13 ("a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a data structure defines structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and the computer software and hardware components which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory").


Applicants request reconsideration and allowance. Should any minor issues remain outstanding, the Examiner should contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below so they can be resolved expeditiously without need of a further written action.

HOLLIS et al.
Appl. No. 09/585,329
April 4, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By:



Robert W. Faris
Reg. No. 31,352

RWF:ej
1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor
Arlington, VA 22201-4714
Telephone: (703) 816-4000
Facsimile: (703) 816-4100